

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,269	08/27/2003	Masato Jimbo	04208.0187 5208	
7590 09/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA	
			ART UNIT	- PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/648,269	JIMBO, MASATO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insight of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we have to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•			
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		· · · · ·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12-17</u> is/are v	withdrawn from consideration	; ;			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn horn consideration.	: •			
	Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	· :			
Applicati	Application Papers					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-	; ;			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Driority u	undor 25 U.S.O. S.440		<u>:</u>			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: A □ 0 till b i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		:			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage			
* \$	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	,	d			
· ·	the attached detailed office action for a list of	ine certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment	(e)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>08-23-03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 6-11) in the reply filed on 06-28-04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thiessen et al (US 5,232,638) and optionally as evidence from the teachings of Brooks et al (US 5,759,680) or Hong (US 6,344,077; using a WO 98/51709 publication date of 11-19-98).

Thiessen et al, drawn to a method of making a nonwoven web, teaches introducing a molten raw material (M) to a centrifugal spinner (12); ejecting the molten material in the centrifugal spinner to form a fiber veil layer on a bell-shaped body; and adding cellulosic fibers to the fiber veil layer (col. 3 line 63 to col. 4 line 29; col. 6 lines 33-62; figures 1-2, 4 and 7-8). Although not explicitly stated, the cellulosic fibers added to a fiber veil layer is taken

Art Unit: 1733

to be inherently hygroscopic as evidence from the teachings of either Brooks et al (col. 3 lines 66-67) or Hong (col. 5 lines 24-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiessen et al (US 5,232,638) as applied to claim 6 or claim 8 above, and further in view of Pellegrin et al (US 5,900,206).

Theissen et al does not teach the limitations (i.e. the application of 1st and 2nd centrifugal spinners) recited in claim 7. However, such would have been obvious in the art as such is well known in the art as exemplified in the teachings of Pellegrin et al (figures 1-3). As for claim 10, a second non-woven fiber web layer formed by a second centrifugal spinner is taken to intrinsically form an air-permeable sheet. **Alternatively**, it would have been obvious in the art to deposit melt-blown fibers onto a nonwoven web, because Pellegrin et al teaches a desirability of depositing in-situ melt-blown fibers onto a fiber web, wherein the fiber web is formed using a centrifugal spinner (col. 2 line 63 to col. 3 line 38; figures 1-2). The deposited melt-blown fibers is taken to intrinsically form an air-permeable sheet. With respect to claim 11, see figure 1 of the Pellegrin et al. As

Art Unit: 1733

clearly illustrated in this figure, a fiber web formed from (for example) a second spinner is covered with an underside nonwoven web (i.e. formed from a 1st centrifugal spinner and a 1st fiber melt-blowing means) and topside nonwoven web (i.e. formed from a 3rd centrifugal spinner and a 2nd fiber melt-blowing means). Although not expressly disclosed, a resultant modified nonwoven web taught by Thiessen et al is expected to be cut into a suitable dimension for a desired end-use of the web.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thiessen et al (US 5,232,638) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Bakhshi et al (US 5,736,475).

It would have been obvious in the art to provide an annular heater above a centrifugal spinner taught by Thiessen et al, because Bakhshi et al teaches providing an annular heater above a centrifugal spinner to maintain ejected fibers from a centrifugal spinner "in a soft, attenuable state immediately outside the spinner" (col. 3 lines 27-37).

Conclusion

7. <u>It is suggested to add a limitation in dependent claim 2 to independent claim 6 and independent claim 8 to define over the art of record.</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

Art Unit: 1733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

700

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 09-01-04